UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,760	11/16/2005	Mario Lopez	038724.56071US	9016
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 05/30/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			ALI, MOHAMMAD M	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/532,760	LOPEZ, MARIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MOHAMMAD M. ALI	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ma</u>	arch 2008					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
		secution as to the merits is				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologod in accordance with the practice and in	x parto Quayro, 1000 0.b. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,14-16 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,14-16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · ·	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/16/05 & 03/03/08</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
		-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/532,760 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "solid carbon dioxide" for claim 4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Claims 1-2, 5-10, 14-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Renzo et al., (EP 0542055 A1). Renzo et al., disclose a device comprising controlled cooling of grapes before being subjected to pressing and/or stalk stripping (see column 5, lines 21-23; indicating a step of trans porting the grapes to a press or to a maceration vessel); charging the grapes with carbon dioxide from a tank 27 (see line 25 is connected to a high pressured CO2 tank 25 at the bottom indicating that liquid CO2 is connected with pipe 25 as it is a known feature in a pressurized CO2 tank liquid portion remains at the bottom side and the gas portion remains at the top side) during transport via the cooling chamber 4A and 4B; a harvest reception vessel (inherent at the entry point of cooling chamber 4A (loading zone 2); a connection line to harvest reception vessel (inherent as the harvest to be loaded at the loading zone 2); a connection to the press (inherent because the press is performed after the cooling operation as disclosed above); each of the connection lines including conveyor 16, 17 being configured for transporting the grapes wherein at least one feed line (loading zone 2) is provided to at least one of the connection lines 25 through which carbon dioxide is fed into the at least one of the connection lines 16/17; a reservoir/tank contains both liquid and gaseous carbon dioxide (it is inherent a liquid carbon dioxide cylinder is topped by gaseous carbon dioxide); a gaseous carbon dioxide is brought into contact with grapes (as there is nozzles 6 and 21 it inherent that gaseous (after spray from nozzles 6 CO2 liquid becomes gaseous carbon dioxide is brought into contact of the

grapes); the grapes moves through movable door 60 as a grape movement valve and then through metering member 14 being another valve; temperature sensors or probes 33, 72 and 75 for detecting temperatures of grapes; a control circuit 34 controls the temperature of grapes with the help of temperature sensors and carbon dioxide and operation of fans 30 and nozzles 6/21; one or more valves 58 for movement of carbon dioxide; the charging of carbon dioxide is interrupted (flow of CO2 is controlled by the electronic controller 34 as it is connected with temperature sensors 33, CO2 control valves 58 and fans 30 so that the grapes are cooled to a predetermined temperature for example 5 degree C; See column 4, lines 1-12).. Regarding 7 degree C, Renzo et al., do not specifically say that cooling degree should be 7 degree C but range of cooling degree as mentioned by Renzo et al. is 0-15 degree C which is known by the Applicant as mentioned in his arguments. It clearly indicates that Renzo et al., is able to maintain the cooling temperature at any point between 0-15 degrees C which includes 7 degree C and hence Renzo et al., is capable of maintaining 7 degree C by interrupting the flow of CO2 as and when necessary. See Fig. 1-4, abstract, column 2, line 41 to column 6, line 4. Regarding maceration process lasts only a few hours for claim 1 being an alternative option to press or claim 1; the Examiner does not have to address the implication of this amendment portion. However, the maceration lasts a few hours is a known feature in the art. As for evidentiary example for process for the production of juices from fruits and vegetables a maceration time is needed for one hour. See column 5, lines 49-51 of US Patent 6,465,026 B2 to Grassin et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 5-10, 14-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Renzo et al., (EP 0542055 A1). Renzo et al., disclose a device comprising controlled cooling of grapes before being subjected to pressing and/or stalk stripping (see column 5, lines 21-23; indicating a step of trans porting the grapes to a press or to a maceration vessel); charging the grapes with carbon dioxide from a tank 27 (see line 25 is connected to a high pressured CO2 tank 25 at the bottom indicating that liquid CO2 is connected with pipe 25 as it is a known feature in a pressurized CO2 tank liquid portion remains at the bottom side and the gas portion remains at the top side) during transport via the cooling chamber 4A and 4B; a harvest reception vessel (inherent at the entry point of cooling chamber 4A (loading zone 2); a connection line to harvest reception vessel (inherent as the harvest to be loaded at the loading zone 2); a connection to the press (inherent because the press is performed after the cooling operation as disclosed above); each of the connection lines including conveyor 16, 17 being configured for transporting the grapes wherein at least one feed line (loading zone 2) is provided to at least one of the connection lines 25 through which carbon dioxide is

fed into the at least one of the connection lines 16/17; a reservoir/tank contains both liquid and gaseous carbon dioxide (it is inherent a liquid carbon dioxide cylinder is topped by gaseous carbon dioxide); a gaseous carbon dioxide is brought into contact with grapes (as there is nozzles 6 and 21 it inherent that gaseous (after spray from nozzles 6 CO2 liquid becomes gaseous carbon dioxide is brought into contact of the grapes); the grapes moves through movable door 60 as a grape movement valve and then through metering member 14 being another valve; temperature sensors or probes 33, 72 and 75 for detecting temperatures of grapes; a control circuit 34 controls the temperature of grapes with the help of temperature sensors and carbon dioxide and operation of fans 30 and nozzles 6/21; one or more valves 58 for movement of carbon dioxide; the charging of carbon dioxide is interrupted (flow of CO2 is controlled by the electronic controller 34 as it is connected with temperature sensors 33, CO2 control valves 58 and fans 30 so that the grapes are cooled to a predetermined temperature for example 5 degree C; See column 4, lines 1-12). Renzo et al., disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except cooling to 7 degrees C. Regarding 7 degree C, Renzo et al., do not specifically say that cooling degree should be 7 degree C but range of cooling degree as mentioned by Renzo et al. is 0-15 degree C which is known by the Applicant as mentioned in his arguments. It indicates that Renzo et al., is able to maintain the cooling temperature at any point between 0-15 degrees C which includes 7 degree C and hence Renzo et al., is obviously capable of maintaining 7 degree C by interrupting the flow of Co2 as and when necessary. See Fig. 1-4, abstract, column 2, line 41 to column6, line 4. Regarding maceration process lasts only a few

Page 6

Art Unit: 3744

hours for claim 1 being an alternative option to press; the Examiner does not have to address the implication of this amendment portion. However, the maceration lasts a few hours is a known feature in the art. As for evidentiary example for process for the production of juices from fruits and vegetables a maceration time is needed for one hour. See column 5, lines 49-51 of US Patent 6,465,026 B2 to Grassin et al.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Renzo et al., do not specifically disclose if a liquid or solid carbon dioxide is brought into contact with grapes. However, for cooling grapes or any fruit by a cryogenic means like either gaseous or solid carbon dioxide is known feature in the art. Moreover, an ordinary skill of art is able to utilize the control circuit 34 to obtain flow of either a gaseous or liquid carbon dioxide on the grapes. Therefore, it is an obvious choice of an ordinary skill of art to choose gaseous or liquid carbon dioxide or solid carbon dioxide for cooling purposes of grapes or the like. The cooling of grapes can be done by using dry ice pellets (solid carbon dioxide) is a known feature. For evidentiary example see Applicant's IDS EP 1096005 A1 to Robert et al., Para [0021].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/03/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argued that Renzo does not disclose interrupting the flow of carbon dioxide if the temperature drops below 7 degree C as it recited in the present claims. The Examiner disagrees. As Renzo et al., disclose an electronic controller/processor 34 connecting temperature sensors 33, 75, CO2 control valves 58, and fans 30 and controlling the temperature between 0-15 degree C with example of 5 degree C, Renzo et al., is capable of interrupting the flow of carbon dioxide if the

Art Unit: 3744

temperature drops below 7 degree C and thus Renzo et al., obviously read claim 3 and 4. Therefore, Renzo does not disclose interrupting the flow of carbon dioxide if the temperature drops below 7 degree C as it recited in the present claims is not true and rejections are true.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD M. ALI whose telephone number is (571)272-4806. The examiner can normally be reached on maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,760 Page 9

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mohammad M Ali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744